

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/036,484	PERKOVIC ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert Madsen	1761	

All Participants:

(1) Robert Madsen.

(2) Robert Kinberg.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 8 November 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

Claim 1

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

For clarity, it was proposed that claim 1, lines 9 and 12 be amended to such that after "permeable", delete " and capable of", and insert "for".

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)